

## iv. The role of databases and registers in the legal protection of TKS...

### iv. The role of databases and registers in the legal protection of TKS - Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL) through World Intellectual Property Organisation (WIPO)

## The Role of Databases and Registers in the Legal Protection of TKS

### Rationale for Documenting and Registering TKS

#### 1. Preventing Misappropriation

- Historically, TKS or indigenous medical formulations have been patented by external entities claiming “novelty” in jurisdictions unfamiliar with such knowledge.
- Detailed digital or physical registers deter such bio-piracy by providing **publicly accessible prior art**, invalidating false novelty claims.

#### 2. Strengthening Community Autonomy

- Official registers can affirm community ownership or custodianship of TKS.
- Enhances bargaining power for Access and Benefit-Sharing (ABS) negotiations under frameworks like the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD) and the Nagoya Protocol.

#### 3. Cultural Preservation

- Documenting local techniques, remedy recipes, genealogies, and rituals ensures **intergenerational continuity**, especially if young generations are drifting away from oral traditions.
- Serves as a repository to revitalize or adapt TKS to contemporary contexts.

#### 4. Policy and Research Support

- Government agencies, NGOs, and researchers can reference TKS databases to identify potential leads for drug discovery or agro-innovation, while respecting prior rights and obtaining prior informed consent.

### Key Types of Registers and Databases

#### 1. People’s Biodiversity Registers (PBRs)

- Local-level documentation of community biodiversity uses, traditional ecological knowledge, species distribution, cultural significance.
- Mandated under India’s Biological Diversity Act, often maintained by **Biodiversity Management Committees (BMCs)** at village or municipal levels.

#### 2. National Databases (TKDL, National Knowledge Portals)

- Larger scale, systematically curated repositories focusing on codified or non-codified traditions, bridging local data with patent offices or legal authorities.

#### 3. International Knowledge Portals

- Some countries are exploring cross-border or regional databases (e.g., African Regional Intellectual Property Organization’s initiatives) to streamline TKS protection and synergy.

## Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (TKDL)

### Genesis and Objectives

#### 1. Motivation

- Prompted by high-profile bio-piracy cases (e.g., patents on turmeric’s wound-healing, neem-based fungicidal properties), the Government of India sought a robust, **searchable digital repository** to establish prior art.
- Acknowledges that many patent examiners abroad could not read Sanskrit, Hindi, Tamil, or other Indian languages in which classical medicinal treatises or manuscripts were written.

#### 2. Collaboration and Scope

- TKDL is jointly executed by the **Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)** and the **Ministry of AYUSH**, with input from various scholars of Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha, Yoga, Naturopathy.
- The database systematically classifies thousands of traditional formulations from Indian medicinal texts (Charaka Samhita, Sushruta Samhita, etc.) into **multiple languages**, using a structured, patent-compatible

format (Traditional Knowledge Resource Classification or TKRC).

### 3. Structure

- *Ontology-based indexing*: Mapped to the **International Patent Classification (IPC)** system, bridging semantic gaps between Ayurvedic terminologies and patent classification codes.
- Contains data on formulations, plants used, pathological indications, dosages, references to classical texts, ensuring easy cross-reference for examiners.

## Function as a Defensive Tool

### 1. Prior Art Evidence

- Patent examiners worldwide can access TKDL under non-disclosure agreements, verifying if claimed “novel” processes or compositions already exist in Indian traditional medical systems.
- This helps in rejecting spurious patents—thereby preventing the privatization of centuries-old knowledge.

### 2. Curtailing Biopiracy

- With the TKDL accessible, suspicious or overlapping applications can be flagged early, saving time and resources.
- Reduces the need for expensive litigation, as examiners themselves can swiftly see prior art citations in a recognized official database.

### 3. Global Impact

- The Indian model inspired discussions at WIPO and other forums about adopting similar defensive systems for other countries with rich TKS.
- Some developing countries are examining an “international TKS registry” approach akin to the TKDL model to protect indigenous knowledge.

## TKDL and Collaboration with WIPO

### WIPO's Role in TKS Protection

#### 1. Global Policy Platform

- WIPO has been a leading intergovernmental organization shaping norms and best practices on intellectual property, including issues of traditional knowledge and cultural expressions.
- WIPO's **Intergovernmental Committee (IGC)** on Intellectual Property and Genetic Resources, Traditional Knowledge and Folklore addresses policy gaps, aiming to develop international legal instruments that safeguard TKS.

#### 2. WIPO TK Documentation Toolkit

- Provides guidelines for documenting TKS in a culturally respectful manner, avoiding unwanted disclosure of sacred or secret knowledge.
- Emphasizes balanced approaches that do not inadvertently convert TKS into the “public domain” if communities do not desire that path.

### The TKDL-WIPO Relationship

#### 1. Access Agreements

- WIPO facilitated cooperation between India's TKDL authorities and various patent offices (Europe, US, Canada, Australia, Japan).
- The arrangement ensures that patent examiners can perform “prior art searches” on TKDL's corpus, respecting confidentiality protocols.

#### 2. Promoting Best Practices for Defensive IP

- WIPO recognizes TKDL as a model defensive database, frequently cited as an exemplary mechanism in global discussions.
- Encourages other countries to develop analogous digitized repositories (with the necessary language/classification bridging).

#### 3. Limitations and Next Steps

- The WIPO framework is non-binding. Nations have sovereignty over how deeply they integrate TKS into IP or intangible heritage legislation.
- Future expansions or upgrades to TKDL might incorporate subnational or lesser-known tribal knowledge,

bridging the gap between local oral traditions and formal patent documentation systems.

## Broader Implications for TKS Protection

### Enhanced IP Examination Processes

#### 1. Reduced Wrongful Patents

- By systematically analyzing TKS sources, patent offices reduce the grant of dubious patents, addressing concerns of indigenous groups about exploitation or appropriation.
- Encourages genuine innovation rather than repackaging of existing knowledge.

#### 2. Stronger Defensive Mechanisms

- Combined with **Traditional Knowledge Resource Classification (TKRC)** or other specialized taxonomies, ensures examiners can swiftly identify relevant prior art.
- Minimizes language barriers, bridging classical texts to patent jargon.

### Empowering Indigenous and Local Communities

#### 1. Validation of Knowledge

- Formal indexing in a high-profile library affirms the scientific and cultural significance of local remedies.
- Could foster recognition, leading to interest in **benefit-sharing** if commercial R&D uses those documented formulas or references them in part.

#### 2. Concerns over Consent and Confidentiality

- Some communities worry about overexposure, if previously secret or sacred knowledge gets documented in centralized databases accessible by non-local parties.
- Necessitates robust stakeholder consultations, clarity on what aspects remain restricted.

### Contribution to National Economy and Healthcare

#### 1. Promoting Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani

- Government initiatives to brand Indian systems of medicine globally can highlight the authenticity guaranteed by a well-documented TKS knowledge base.
- Potential for expanding exports of AYUSH products, standardized herbal medicines, or medical tourism.

#### 2. R&D Catalysis

- Researchers (pharmaceutical, nutraceutical, biotech) can systematically search TKS leads, accelerating the discovery of novel drug candidates or clinically validated products.
- Possibly spurring patentable incremental innovations around formulation improvements or synergy analyses.

## Conclusion

**Databases and registers** (like the **Traditional Knowledge Digital Library, TKDL**) have become critical tools for **defensive** intellectual property strategies, **bio-piracy** prevention, and **cultural preservation**. By compiling codified or partially codified knowledge (from Ayurveda, Unani, Siddha, Yoga) in a structured, patent-searchable format, India has **raised global awareness** of TKS and secured a formidable mechanism to **invalidate wrongful patents** claiming novelty on age-old remedies.

This success exemplifies how synergy between **national authorities** (e.g., the Indian Patent Office, National Biodiversity Authority) and **international IP frameworks** (particularly WIPO) can effectively safeguard intangible cultural assets. Beyond legal defenses, the TKDL fosters **community recognition**, catalyzes **biomedical R&D**, and ensures that the **shared heritage of TKS** remains accessible and protected against misappropriation. As global interest in traditional medicine and biodiversity-based innovations grows, the TKDL model stands as a template for other nations seeking to preserve and honor their intangible cultural heritage.