

Lesson 7: Arbuda & Granthi in classical texts - definitions, bheda, prognostic clues

1. Why This Lesson Matters

In integrative oncology, we keep using modern words like *tumor, benign, malignant, cancer*. But as an Ayurvedic clinician, your **classical diagnostic language** is built around entities such as:

- **Granthi**
- **Arbuda**
- **Apachi, Galaganda, Adhimāmsa** and others

To design authentic Ayurvedic protocols, you must know:

- What *exactly* ancient Ācāryas meant by **Granthi** vs **Arbuda**
- How they differentiated types (bheda) - by doṣa, dhātu, site
- What classical **prognostic clues** they used (sādhyā vs asādhyā, risk of recurrence, etc.)

This lesson builds that classical foundation which we will keep mapping to modern oncology throughout the course.

2. Classical Sources for Granthi and Arbuda

Granthi and Arbuda are discussed primarily in:

- **Suśruta Saṃhitā**
 - Nidāna Sthāna - chapter on *Granthi-Apachi-Arbuda-Galaganda* (etiology and pathology)
 - Cikitsā Sthāna - chapter on their treatment
- **Aṣṭāṅga Hṛdaya**
 - Uttara Sthāna - *Granthi-Arbuda-Ślipada-Apachi-Nāḍi Vijñāniya* (knowledge of tumors, cancer-like conditions, scrofula, sinuses)
- **Caraka Saṃhitā**
 - Does not have a separate "Arbuda chapter" like Suśruta, but discusses **Granthi, Arbuda-like swellings** in contexts such as *Vāta-rakta, Gulma, Mamsa-pradoṣaja vikāras*, etc.

Later commentaries and modern Ayurvedic literature clearly correlate:

- **Granthi** ≈ minor neoplasm / benign-like growth
- **Arbuda** ≈ major neoplasm / malignant-like growth

We will use this classical-modern bridge with caution, not as a rigid 1:1 mapping.

3. Granthi - Classical Definition and Key Characteristics

3.1 Etymology and Basic Idea

- **Granthi** literally means "knot" or "node".
- Clinically, it refers to:
 - A **localized, nodular swelling**
 - Often **round, hard, slightly elevated**
 - Arising from vitiation of **doṣa** in **māmsa, meda, rakta** (and sometimes asthi, sirā, etc.)

Suśruta and Vāgbhaṭa describe Granthi as:

- A small **glandular or nodular swelling**
- Usually **limited**, sometimes multiple
- Can be inflammatory or non-inflammatory, depending on doṣa and dūṣya involvement

3.2 Pathogenesis (Samprāpti) - Granthi

Common conceptual features:

- **Nidāna:** mithyā āhāra-vihāra, chronic doṣa aggravation
- **Doṣa:** usually **Vāta-Pitta-Kapha** interacting with:
 - **Rakta**
 - **Māṃsa**
 - **Meda**
 - Sometimes **asthi, sirā, vrana** etc. (per Vagbhata's extended list)
- Doṣa-dūṣya sammūrchanā leads to a **localized, knot-like swelling** which:
 - May or may not suppurate
 - May remain static or slowly grow

In modern terms, **many benign tumors, cysts, lipomas, small lymph node enlargements** can be conceptually compared to Granthi, though not mechanically equated.

4. Arbuda - Classical Definition and Key Characteristics

4.1 Etymology and Basic Idea

- **Arbuda** literally means **lump, mass, tumor**.
- In Suśruta and Aṣṭāṅga Hṛdaya, Arbuda is described as:
 - A **big, deep-seated swelling**
 - **Slow-growing initially**, then **persistent and progressive**
 - Generally **single, firm, fixed, non-suppurative**
 - Difficult to treat, often termed **kṛcchra-sādhya or asādhya**

Key phrases (paraphrased from the classical description):

- "Large, rounded, firm, non-suppurating swelling, arising from vitiated doṣa in māṃsa and allied dhātus, which grows slowly but steadily and is difficult to cure."

4.2 Pathogenesis (Samprāpti) - Arbuda

Suśruta emphasizes:

- Vitiated **doṣa (primarily Vāta-Kapha, with Pitta and Rakta participation)**
- Acting on **Māṃsa, Rakta, Meda**, often after **trauma (mūṣṭi prahāra)** or chronic irritation, lead to:
 - Deep-seated, rock-like swelling
 - Non-suppurative, persistent
 - May recur even after removal (Adhyarbuda, Dvirarbuda)

Modern authors often correlate:

- **Māṃsārbuda** with certain fleshy tumors / sarcomas
- **Raktārbuda** with some blood cancers
- **Medoarbuda** with fatty malignant growths

Again, this is conceptual, not literal.

5. Granthi vs Arbuda - Classical Differentiation

Classically, Granthi and Arbuda are both **māṃsa-pradoṣaja** swellings, but with important differences.

5.1 Comparative Features

Feature	Granthi (Minor Neoplasm)	Arbuda (Major Neoplasm)
Size	Usually smaller, nodular	Larger, bulky mass
Number	Often multiple possible	Classically single; multiple = <i>Adhyarbuda</i> , <i>Dvirarbuda</i>
Growth rate	Slow, may remain static for long	Slow onset but relentless progressive growth
Consistency	Firm to hard; sometimes slightly mobile	Very firm, deep-seated, often fixed
Pain	Often painless or mild discomfort	Often painless early; pain increases with size/invasion
Suppuration	May suppurate in some types (depending on doṣa)	Generally non-suppurative
Systemic impact	Limited, mainly local	Large Arbuda may cause systemic decline over time
Prognosis	Many types sādhya or yāpya	Frequently kṛcchra-sādhya or asādhya , esp. in marmas
Rough modern correlation	Benign tumors, cysts, localized nodules	Malignant tumors / cancer-like major neoplasm

Suśruta and later authors explicitly highlight **Arbuda** as more serious, with higher **recurrence, progression and mortality risk** than simple Granthi.

6. Bheda (Classification) of Granthi and Arbuda

Classical texts classify these entities in several ways:

- By **doṣa**
- By **dhātu (tissue)**
- By **site**
- By **prognosis**

6.1 Doṣa-based Bheda

Granthi - Doṣa Bheda (conceptual summary)

- **Vātaja Granthi**
 - Hard, rough, irregular, more painful
 - Skin may be darkish, dry
 - /shooting, pricking type pain/
- **Pittaja Granthi**
 - Red or coppery discoloration, warmth
 - Burning, tenderness, possible ulceration
 - Tends to bleed, ooze
- **Kaphaja Granthi**
 - Pale or white, cold to touch
 - Heavy, firm, often painless, slow-growing
 - More “benign” in behavior but persistent
- **Raktaja / Māṃsa / Medoja Granthi** (Vagbhata’s tissue-based classification)
 - Raktaja – intensely red, hot, bleeding tendency
 - Māṃsaja – fleshy, firm, often at sites of chronic friction/trauma
 - Medoja – soft, fatty, often hanging or lobulated (lipoma-like)

In practice, many Granthis show **mixed doṣa features**.

Arbuda - Doṣa Bheda

Suśruta and later writers describe:

- **Vātaja Arbuda**

- Hard, rough, severe pain, dryness, blackish or dusky color
- **Pittaja Arbuda**
 - Red, hot, burning, inflamed, tendency to ulcerate and bleed
- **Kaphaja Arbuda**
 - Cold, pale, heavy, very firm, slow-growing but deeply seated
- **Tridoṣaja Arbuda**
 - Mixed features, rapid deterioration and poor prognosis

Doṣic predominance helps anticipate:

- Symptom pattern
- Speed of progression
- Pain and ulceration behavior
- Likely response to doṣa-specific śamana measures

6.2 Dhātu-based Bheda

Especially for **Arbuda**:

- **Raktārbuda**
 - Dominant involvement of rakta
 - Bleeding, color changes, high pitta-rakta features
 - Conceptually mapped to certain blood-rich tumors or hematologic malignancies
- **Māṃsārbuda**
 - Dominant involvement of māṃsa dhātu
 - Fleshy, firm, muscle-related masses
 - Often associated with trauma or chronic strain at the site [Jaims+1](#)
- **Medoarbuda**
 - Dominant meda involvement
 - Fatty, lobulated tumors, sometimes in subcutaneous or deep fat planes

Similarly, **Granthi** is described with dhātu emphasis:

- Rakta Granthi
- Māṃsa Granthi
- Meda Granthi
- Asthi/Sirā/Vraṇa Granthi (depending on the text)

Dhātu-based classification helps you choose:

- Dhātu-specific medicines
- Diet and lifestyle (e.g. medoja vs māṃsa/meda-kṣaya background)
- Rasayana targeting specific tissues in survivorship

6.3 Site-based Bheda

Suśruta enumerates Arbuda at many anatomical sites:

- **Vartma-Arbuda** – eyelid tumors
- **Karnarbudha** – ear tumors
- **Mukharbudha** – oral cavity tumors
- **Tālvarbudha / Osthārbuda** – palate, lip
- **Sirārbuda** – head, scalp
- **Genital-Arbuda** – in male organs, etc.

This shows that Ācāryas conceptually accepted **Arbuda anywhere in the body**, not restricted to a few sites – similar to the modern concept that **cancer can arise in any tissue**.

6.4 Prognosis-based Bheda

Classical texts often label certain Arbuda/Granthi as:

- **Sādhya** – curable (small, early, favorable site, single doṣa, strong patient)
- **Yāpya** – controllable/maintainable but not fully curable
- **Asādhya** – incurable, where aggressive treatment is discouraged

Factors pushing toward asādhya:

- Large size, long chronicity
- Deep location, **marma** involvement
- Tridoṣaja or multiple dhātu involvement
- Recurrent Arbuda (punararbuda) or Adhyarbuda / Dvirarbuda
- Associated with **kṛśatā (emaciation), dāha, kṣaya, śvāsa, hikka, kāsa**, etc. – systemic decline

These prognostic ideas parallel modern use of:

- Stage IV, high-grade histology
- Poor performance status
- Multi-organ metastasis

7. Prognostic Clues in Classical Descriptions

When you read Suśruta and Vagbhata carefully, several **prognostic clues** emerge:

7.1 Favourable Features (Relatively Better Prognosis)

- Small size, localized swelling
- Mobile, not fixed to deep structures
- No ulceration, no foul discharge
- Non-bleeding or minimal bleeding
- Single doṣa predominance (not tridoṣaja)
- Good **bala and ojas**:
 - Adequate nourishment
 - Normal sleep and appetite
 - No major systemic symptoms

Such cases might correspond to:

- Early Granthi / early Arbuda
- Some benign tumors or early malignancies where curative surgery ± adjunct therapy is possible

7.2 Unfavourable Features (Poor Prognosis / Asādhya Lakṣaṇa)

Classical red flags:

- Very large, **deeply fixed mass**
- Multiple Arbudas (Adhyarbuda, Dvirarbuda)
- Rapid regrowth after excision (**punararbuda**)
- Ulceration with:
 - Foul smell
 - Slough
 - Persistent bleeding or discharge
- Surrounding **skin involvement**, induration, color changes
- Severe, constant pain unrelieved by simple measures
- Systemic features:



- Kṛśatā (emaciation)
- Dāha (burning), jvara (fever)
- Śvāsa, hikka, kāsa
- Loss of appetite, severe weakness

These correspond very closely to:

- Locally advanced or metastatic cancer with cachexia
- Invasive tumors eroding into organs and skin
- Recurrence after previous treatment

Classically, such cases are often advised **palliative, comfort-oriented care** rather than heroic or mutilating interventions.

8. Clinical Use of Granthi & Arbuda Concepts Today

8.1 Why You Should Still Use These Terms

For an Ayurvedic oncologist, documenting:

- “Arbuda-like mām̐sa-pradoṣaja vikāra with kapha-pitta predominance at left stana (breast)”

alongside:

- “Invasive ductal carcinoma, left breast, stage IIB, ER/PR+, HER2-”

gives a **dual lens**:

- Modern lens: guides surgery, chemo, RT, targeted therapy.
- Classical lens: guides doṣa, dhātu, srotas-based chikitsā, diet, rasayana, and prognostic counseling in Āyurvedic language.

8.2 Avoiding Misuse

Important cautions:

- **Do not** label every breast lump or oral ulcer as a simple “Granthi” and keep trying lepana / kaṣāyas indefinitely.
- Whenever there is any suspicion of Arbuda-like behavior:
 - **Immediately send for modern work-up: imaging + biopsy + staging.**
- After diagnosis is clear, you can refine whether the clinical picture fits more with:
 - Early Granthi
 - Arbuda
 - Other conditions (Gulma, Adhimām̐sa, etc.)

8.3 Educational Point for Patients

You can explain in simple terms:

- “In our classical texts, smaller, more limited swellings are called *Granthi* and larger, deep and serious swellings are called *Arbuda*. Your reports show that this is more like an *Arbuda* – a serious condition – so we must follow the complete modern treatment, and I will support you with Ayurveda for strength, digestion and quality of life.”

This maintains authenticity while not confusing the patient.

9. Key Take-Home Points

1. **Granthi** = knot-like, often smaller, localized swelling; many types are benign-like and potentially curable or manageable.



2. **Arbuda** = large, deep, firm, non-suppurative mass; classical texts treat it as a serious, often difficult or incurable “major neoplasm”.
3. Doṣa-based classification (Vātaja, Pittaja, Kaphaja, Tridoṣaja) and **dhātu-based bheda** (Rakta, Māṃsa, Meda, etc.) exist for both Granthi and Arbuda and guide symptom picture and chikitsā focus.
4. Site-based Arbuda (Mukharbuda, Karnarbuda, Vartmārbuda, etc.) shows that Ācāryas recognized tumor-like diseases in almost every body region.
5. Prognosis is judged by:
 - Size, chronicity, fixation, ulceration, bleeding
 - Number and recurrence (Adhyarbuda, Dvirarbuda, Punararbuda)
 - Doṣa-dhātu involvement and systemic features like emaciation, fever, dyspnea.
6. Many Granthi correspond conceptually to **benign tumors**, while Arbuda correlates to **malignant cancers**, but you should treat this as a conceptual bridge, not a rigid equivalence.
7. In modern practice, use **both languages**:
 - TNM, stage, grade, ECOG
 - Doṣa-dhātu-srotas-Granthi/Arbuda framework to design rational, individualized integrative oncology plans.

10. Review Questions

1. Define **Granthi** and **Arbuda** in classical terms. Mention at least three distinguishing features between them.
2. Describe the doṣa-based bheda of Arbuda (Vātaja, Pittaja, Kaphaja, Tridoṣaja) and the key clinical clues for each.
3. What is **Māṃsārbuda**? How is it conceptually understood in terms of causation and prognosis?
4. Explain the dhātu-based classification of Granthi according to Vagbhata. How does it influence your treatment approach?
5. What are Adhyarbuda, Dvirarbuda and Punararbuda? Why are they considered poor prognostic indicators?
6. List at least six classical **asādhya lakṣaṇas** for Arbuda/Granthi that would make you think of advanced, poor-prognosis disease.
7. How would you document a patient’s condition using both modern (TNM, stage) and classical (Granthi/Arbuda, doṣa-dhātu-srotas) language in your case sheet?

End of Lesson 7 - Arbuda & Granthi in Classical Texts