



## **U3Ch5. Practicing an objective and analytical writing style for professional communication**

### **PAPER 01 — Communicative Skills**

#### **Unit 3 · Written Communication**

#### **Chapter 5 · Practising an Objective & Analytical Writing Style for Professional Communication**

## **1 Why Objectivity & Analysis Define Professionalism**

<b>Benefit</b>	<b>Objectivity Delivers</b>	<b>Analytical Depth Delivers</b>
<b>Credibility</b>	Removes personal bias; signals fairness	Shows readers you can interpret data, not just present it
<b>Clarity</b>	States facts precisely, free of emotion	Explains causes, patterns, and implications
<b>Decision Support</b>	Lets stakeholders trust the numbers	Converts numbers into actionable insights
<b>Consistency</b>	Establishes uniform tone across documents	Provides a repeatable framework for varied topics

**Guiding Premise** Feelings may inspire, but **facts persuade** in the workplace.

## **2 Core Principles of Objective Writing**

<b>Principle</b>	<b>Do This</b>	<b>Avoid This</b>
<b>Evidence-Based</b>	Support every claim with data, citation, or verifiable example	Assertions like “obviously,” “everyone knows”
<b>Neutral Tone</b>	Use precise adjectives (significant, negligible)	Charged words (amazing, disastrous)
<b>Third-Person Focus</b>	Centre on subject matter, not “I/we feel”	Overuse of 1st-person emotion (“I’m thrilled...”)
<b>Balanced View</b>	Acknowledge limitations and counter-data	Cherry-picking only favourable results
<b>Specificity</b>	Quantify whenever possible	Vague phrases (many, few, huge)

## **3 Hallmarks of Analytical Writing**

- Structured Reasoning** – Follows the chain *Statement* → *Evidence* → *Explanation* → *Implication*.
- Comparative Insight** – Highlights differences, trends, or correlations.
- Cause-Effect Logic** – Links outcomes to drivers (“Increased downtime stems from coolant failure”).
- Forecast & Scenario Building** – Projects future states when variables shift.
- Actionable Conclusions** – Ends with options, risks, and criteria—not mere description.

## **4 Techniques for Objective, Analytical Style**

### **4.1 Language Tuning**

#### **Weak / Subjective**

“The results are **fantastic**.”

#### **Improved / Objective**

“The defect rate fell by **27 %**.”

### Weak / Subjective

“Sales **skyrocketed**.” “Sales increased from ₹4.1 cr to ₹5.8 cr (Q-on-Q).”  
“We **believe** the system is slow.” “Average page load time is **4.8 s**, exceeding the 3 s benchmark.”

### Improved / Objective

#### Tips

- Replace superlatives with numbers.
- Swap feelings for measurable criteria.
- Use active voice for accountability: “The audit identified...” not “It was identified...”

## 4.2 Evidence Integration Formula

### Claim + Data + Interpretation + Reference (CDIR)

#### Example

- Claim:** Customer churn declined.
- Data:** “Churn dropped from 6.2 % to 4.9 % over two quarters.”
- Interpretation:** “Indicates loyalty-program impact.”
- Reference:** “See Table 2, CRM export 21 Jul 2025.”

## 4.3 Logical Flow Framework (PEEL)

- Point** – topic sentence.
- Evidence** – figure, quote, or citation.
- Explanation** – why evidence matters.
- Link** – connect to next point or conclusion.

## 4.4 Data Presentation Rules

Rule	Rationale
Label charts and tables clearly	Readers locate evidence fast
State key insight <i>above</i> or <i>below</i> visual	Ensures interpretation is not left to guesswork
Include units, scales, and comparison baseline	Prevents misreading or exaggeration

## 4.5 Hedging Without Vague Language

- Use probability markers with metrics: “likely (70-80 % confidence)”, “possible (40-60 %).”
- Cite conditions: “Provided funding is approved, ROI could be realised within 14 months.”

## 5 Avoiding Analytical Pitfalls

Pitfall	Symptom	Preventive Measure
<b>Confirmation Bias</b>	Omitting contradictory data	Actively search for disconfirming evidence
<b>Correlation ≠ Causation</b>	Assuming A causes B because they trend together	Test with controlled data or note as correlation only
<b>Overgeneralisation</b>	Drawing broad conclusions from small sample	State sample size; add confidence intervals
<b>Jargon Overload</b>	Dense technical terms obscure meaning	Define once; use glossary; prefer plainer synonym if audience varies
<b>Logical Fallacies</b>	Slippery slope, ad hominem, etc.	Use peer review checklist to flag flawed reasoning



## 6 Editing Checklist for Objective & Analytical Style

- Purpose Check** – Does every section support the main objective?
- Fact Scan** – Verify numbers, dates, names against sources.
- Tone Audit** – Remove emotive adjectives, personal opinions.
- Evidence Link** – Ensure each claim is directly followed by proof.
- Clarity Pass** – Break long sentences (>25 words); simplify complex syntax.
- Logic Flow** – Re-order paragraphs for PEEL or CDIR coherence.
- Reader Lens** – Ask: *Can a non-expert grasp my point on first read?*
- Final Proof** – Grammar, punctuation, formatting consistency.

## 7 Mini-Toolkit: Quick Conversions

### Original Sentence

“Our new app is **incredibly fast** and users **love it**.”  
“**Unfortunately**, the system **crashed badly** yesterday.”  
“**I think** the market will grow.”

### Objective Rewrite

“Median task completion time fell from 4.2 s to 2.8 s; user satisfaction score rose from 3.4 to 4.6/5.”  
“The system experienced a 12-minute unplanned outage on 20 Jul 2025 due to memory leak.”  
“IDC projects a 9 % CAGR for this segment (2025-30).”

## 8 Self-Practice Corner

- Bias Spotting Drill**
  - Take a news article. Highlight subjective words; rewrite two paragraphs in objective style.
- PEEL Paragraph Craft**
  - Write one PEEL paragraph analysing quarterly sales change using mock data.
- CDIR Verification Exercise**
  - Swap reports with a peer; for each claim, mark where data and interpretation appear. Add any missing pieces.
- Logical Fallacy Hunt**
  - Review a persuasive memo. Identify at least two potential fallacies; annotate and correct them.
- Tone Transformer**
  - Convert a marketing blog’s emotive language into an executive briefing note while retaining meaning.

## Chapter Takeaways

- Objective writing** removes bias through evidence, neutrality, and specificity.
- Analytical writing** structures that evidence into logical chains that guide decisions.
- Master key frameworks (CDIR, PEEL), rigorous editing, and data-presentation rules to make every professional document **credible, clear, and actionable**.